

page. Thus, because the improper specification is being referenced by the examiner in making the election requirement, applicant obviously cannot respond thereto.

The CORRECT application is present in PAIR, and is (correctly it is believed) identified as "Documents submitted with 371 Applications." The top of each page thereof sets out the correct identifying numbers ("WO 2004/038888" & "PCT/GB2003/004654").


ADDITIONAL RELIEF REQUESTED.

- 1) Obviously, the "Specification", "Claims", "Abstract", and "Drawings" documents in PAIR should be combined and correctly identified as "Foreign Reference" since it is an IDS reference.
- 2) The published application of the present application (US 2006/0061323) utilized the wrong specification, claims, abstract and drawings. Thus, republication of the correct application, and withdrawal of the previous wrong publication is requested.

Favorable action is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 7, 2007


By: Ross F. Hunt, Jr.
Registration No.: 24,082

STITES & HARBISON PLC ♦ 1199 North Fairfax St. ♦ Suite 900 ♦ Alexandria, VA 22314
TEL: 703-739-4900 ♦ FAX: 703-739-9577 ♦ CUSTOMER No. 000881